

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,889	11/26/2003	James Michael O'Dwyer	08676.0011.01000	5989
22852 75	90 02/04/2005		EXAM	INER
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			JOHNSON, STEPHEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			3641	-
			DATE MAILED: 02/04/2009	5 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1/1
Λ ;	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/721,889	O'DWYER, JAMES MICHAEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen M. Johnson	3641
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MC	NTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a represent in the statutory minimum of thirty (ind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on O	<u>8 December 2004</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 13 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 November 2004		objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	· · · · · ·
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	sign priority under 35 H S C &	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	igh phonty under 55 o.o.o. g	113(a)-(a) of (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		polication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	
application from the International But	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	* **	eceived.
	•	
Attachment(s)	(-7)	
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	/08) 5) Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3641

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Billottet et al. (740) in view of Clayson et al. or Sanderson.

Billottet et al. disclose an apparatus comprising:

a) a pod containing a cluster of barrels; 13, 16, 17

b) a plurality of seismic signal instigating projectiles; and col. 9, lines 10-14

c) a firing control computer for simultaneous firing bursts of col. 5, lines 8-68;

projectiles from multiple barrels. col. 9, lines 1-19;

fig. 1

However, undisclosed is a pod mounted to aim into the ground. Clayson et al. and Sanderson each teach mounting a pod to aim into the ground (see figs. 1B and 2 mounting arrangement for gun 70 of Clayson et al.; and fig. 6 mounting arrangement for gun 12a of Sanderson). Applicant is selecting a particular mounting arrangement for a gun pod and putting it to use as it is already commonly known to be used in this art. Note that by so arranging the gun pod, it would fire into the ground and inherently create seismic signals. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of Clayson et al. or Sanderson to the Billottet et al. gun apparatus and have a gun apparatus that fires into the ground.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,889

Art Unit: 3641

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the amendment filed on 11/18/2004, with regard to the paragraph beginning on page 7, line 7, the electronic control means 25 does not agree with the numerical indicator shown in the drawings.

Page 3

Appropriate correction is required.

- 4. The replacement drawing relating to sheet 4 or figure 5 has been approved.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,889 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 703-306-4158. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 703-306-4198. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-4177.

Shehuber

TOWEN M. JOHN .

Stephen M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641

SMJ February 3, 2005